An In-Depth Analysis of Ultra-Processed Foods (UPFs): Health Implications, Addiction Mechanisms, and Socio-Economic Impact

Chapter 1: Briefing Document on Ultra-Processed Foods

1.0 Executive Summary

Ultra-processed foods (UPFs) are industrial formulations that now constitute a significant portion of modern diets, accounting for approximately 55% of daily calories in the United States and nearly 60% in the United Kingdom. Defined by the NOVA classification system, UPFs are characterized by long ingredient lists of industrially derived substances and additives designed for hyper-palatability, convenience, and long shelf life. A substantial and growing body of evidence associates high UPF consumption with a range of negative health outcomes, including increased risks of obesity, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, certain cancers, and all-cause mortality. Emerging research into the neurobiology of overconsumption suggests these foods can disrupt hormonal hunger signals and activate the brain's reward circuitry in a manner comparable to addictive substances, potentially leading to a clinically significant food addiction syndrome. While the scientific and policy debate continues, with some scholars highlighting the definitional ambiguities of the UPF category, the public health implications, coupled with the socio-economic factors of affordability and the potential market disruption from new weight-loss medications, make UPFs a critical focus for consumers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers.

1.1 Defining and Identifying Ultra-Processed Foods (UPFs)

Establishing a clear, actionable definition of ultra-processed foods is a foundational step for empowering consumer choice, shaping effective public health policy, and conducting rigorous scientific research. The concept of "ultra-processing" moves the focus from individual nutrients like fat or sugar to the nature, extent, and purpose of the industrial processes foods undergo before they reach the consumer.

The NOVA Classification System

The predominant framework for categorizing foods by their degree of processing is the NOVA classification system, developed by researchers at the University of São Paulo. This system, now used by organizations like the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), divides all foods into four distinct groups:

- Group 1: Unprocessed or minimally processed foods. These are the edible parts of plants or animals in their natural or near-natural state. Processes are limited to those that preserve the food, such as drying, freezing, pasteurizing, or vacuum-packing, without adding salt, sugar, or oils. Examples include fresh fruits, vegetables, grains, legumes, meat, fish, and milk.
- Group 2: Processed culinary ingredients. These are substances derived from Group 1 foods or from nature through processes like pressing, refining, or grinding. They are not meant to be consumed alone but are used in kitchens to prepare dishes. Examples include table sugar, plant oils, butter, and salt.



• Group 3: Processed foods. These are relatively simple products made by adding Group 2 ingredients (like salt, oil, or sugar) to Group 1 foods. They are typically recognizable as modified versions of the original food and include products like canned fish in oil, fruits in syrup, simple cheeses, and freshly baked breads.

• Group 4: Ultra-processed foods. These are industrial formulations made mostly or entirely from substances extracted from foods or derived from food constituents, with little if any intact Group 1 food. They are created through a series of industrial techniques and often contain additives to enhance palatability and longevity.

Core Characteristics of UPFs

Beyond the formal NOVA definition, several key characteristics can help consumers, clinicians, and researchers identify ultra-processed foods.

• Ingredient Profile:

- UPFs typically have long ingredient lists filled with unfamiliar or unpronounceable names. A short, simple list of recognizable ingredients is often a sign of a less processed product.
- They contain industrial ingredients not typically used in home cooking. The FAO identifies examples such as high-fructose corn syrup, hydrogenated or interesterified oils, modified starches, and protein isolates (e.g., whey, casein, soy).

• Use of Additives:

- Additives in UPFs serve to enhance texture, extend shelf life, imitate the sensory
 qualities of unprocessed foods, or disguise undesirable flavors from the industrial
 processing itself.
- Common additive categories to look for on labels include:
 - Preservatives: Chemicals like sodium benzoate and potassium sorbate that extend shelf life.
 - Artificial Sweeteners: Substances like aspartame and sucralose found in "low-calorie" or "sugar-free" products.
 - Flavour Enhancers: Additives such as monosodium glutamate (MSG) that intensify taste.
 - Artificial Colourings: Dyes like Yellow No. 5 and Red No. 40 added to make food more visually appealing.

• Purpose and Marketing:

- UPFs are formulated to be highly profitable, convenient (often ready-to-eat or ready-to-heat), and hyper-palatable.
- Marketing often employs "health buzzwords" that can be misleading. Claims like "low-fat," "sugar-free," or "fortified with vitamins" may be used on products that are fundamentally ultra-processed and contain numerous artificial ingredients.



The Evolving and Contested Definition

Despite the widespread adoption of the NOVA system, some scholars, such as Michael J. Gibney, argue that the definition of UPFs has varied considerably over time and can be open to subjective interpretation. This ambiguity can create challenges for consistent application in research and policy.

A key example of this definitional gray area is **bread**. While freshly baked artisanal bread made from flour, water, salt, and yeast is classified as a Group 3 processed food, mass-produced, sliced, packaged bread often contains emulsifiers or other industrial additives, placing it in the Group 4 ultra-processed category. This definitional ambiguity is not merely academic; it poses a significant challenge for creating clear, enforceable public health regulations, such as front-of-pack labeling or taxation, which depend on unambiguous categorization.

This definition, though contested, provides a necessary framework for quantifying the scale of UPF consumption, a critical step in assessing its public health impact.

1.2 Consumption Patterns and Trends

Understanding the scale and demographic breakdown of UPF consumption is critical for assessing its public health impact and for targeting interventions. Data from high-income countries, particularly the United States and the United Kingdom, reveal that these industrial formulations are not a minor part of the diet but a dominant source of calories.

Consumption Statistics in the United States

Key findings from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) for the period of August 2021–August 2023 paint a clear picture:

- The overall mean percentage of total calories from UPFs for the U.S. population (age 1 and older) was **55.0**%.
- Consumption is notably higher among young people than adults.

Population Group	Mean % of Calories from UPFs
Youth (1–18 years)	61.9%
Adults (19+ years)	53.0%

Further analysis reveals significant variations within these groups:

- By Age: Among adults, UPF consumption decreases with increasing age. Those aged 19–39 consumed 54.4% of their calories from UPFs, compared to 52.6% for those 40–59 and 51.7% for those 60 and older.
- By Income: Among adults, a clear income gradient exists. The highest income group (family income ≥350% of the federal poverty level) consumed the lowest percentage of calories from UPFs (50.4%), significantly less than lower and middle-income groups. This income-based disparity suggests that policy interventions must account for socio-



economic factors, as blanket recommendations to avoid UPFs may disproportionately burden lower-income groups who rely on them for affordable calories.

International Consumption Landscape

The high consumption level in the U.S. is mirrored in other high-income nations, though significant variation exists:

- The **United Kingdom** has a consumption level similar to the U.S., with UPFs accounting for almost **60**% of total energy consumption.
- This contrasts sharply with lower consumption levels in other European countries like Italy (approximately 18%) and Spain (approximately 25%).

Top Caloric Contributors

According to CDC data, the primary sources of UPF calories are consistent across age groups in the U.S., with sandwiches and bakery products leading the list.

• Top 5 UPF Sources for U.S. Youth:

- 1. Sandwiches (including burgers)
- 2. Sweet bakery products
- 3. Savory snacks
- 4. Pizza
- 5. Sweetened beverages

Top 5 UPF Sources for U.S. Adults:

- 1. Sandwiches (including burgers)
- 2. Sweet bakery products
- 3. Sweetened beverages
- 4. Savory snacks
- 5. Breads, rolls, and tortillas

These high consumption rates provide the necessary context for understanding the extensive body of research linking UPF-heavy dietary patterns to a range of adverse health outcomes.

1.3 Health Consequences of High UPF Consumption

A growing body of epidemiological evidence links high consumption of UPFs to a range of adverse health outcomes, forming the core of the public health concern. These associations span metabolic, cardiovascular, and oncological diseases, as well as overall mortality.

Association with Obesity and Weight Gain

The link between UPF consumption and weight gain is one of the most robust findings in the field.



A pivotal 2019 randomized controlled trial led by Kevin Hall found that when participants were given unlimited access to a UPF diet, they consumed approximately 500 more calories per day and gained an average of 0.9 kg (about 2 lbs) in two weeks. When the same participants were switched to an unprocessed diet, they lost the same amount of weight.

• Meta-analyses of observational studies confirm this link, showing that individuals with the highest UPF consumption have significantly increased risks for:

o Abdominal obesity: 41% higher risk

o Overweight: 36% higher risk

Obesity: 55% higher risk

Cardiovascular Disease and Metabolic Health

High UPF intake is strongly associated with poor cardiovascular and metabolic outcomes.

- A 2023 study in the European Heart Journal that tracked over 10,000 women for 15 years found that those with the highest UPF consumption had a 39% higher risk of cardiovascular events.
- Broader meta-analyses suggest that for every 10% increase in the proportion of UPFs in the diet, there is a 6% increase in cardiac events.
- Data from multiple studies show significantly elevated risks for other metabolic conditions:

o Type 2 diabetes: 40% higher risk

o **Hypertension:** 23% higher risk

o Metabolic syndrome: 81% higher risk

Cancer Risk and All-Cause Mortality

Emerging evidence also links UPFs to increased cancer risk and a greater likelihood of premature death.

- Studies have found that a 10% increase in UPF consumption is associated with an increased risk of overall cancer and, specifically, breast cancer.
- The risk of death from any cause is significantly higher for those with the highest UPF intake. Meta-analyses show:

o All-cause mortality: 29% higher risk

o Cardiovascular mortality: 50% higher risk

Critical Nuance: Association vs. Causation

It is important to maintain an objective perspective on these findings. Most large-scale epidemiological studies demonstrate a strong association rather than direct causation. Critics point out that these studies have not definitively disproven that the correlation is driven solely by the poor nutritional profile of UPFs (i.e., high salt, sugar, and fat content) rather than other

aspects of ultra-processing itself. However, controlled trials like the Hall study, which matched diets for these nutrients, suggest that factors beyond basic nutritional content are at play.

These consistent and strong associations have spurred further investigation into the specific mechanisms that might explain such profound health impacts, moving beyond simple nutritional profiles.

1.4 The Neurobiology of Overconsumption: Food Addiction and Hormonal Disruption

Beyond their poor nutritional profiles, the industrial formulation of UPFs may directly impact the body's hormonal and neurobiological systems. This interaction can dysregulate appetite and activate the brain's reward centers in a way that promotes overconsumption and may lead to addictive-like eating behaviors.

Hormonal Dysregulation

The body's intricate system of hormonal checks and balances for hunger and satiety can be disrupted by high UPF consumption.

- Ghrelin and Leptin: The hormone ghrelin signals hunger, while leptin signals fullness. UPF consumption can disrupt this balance by increasing ghrelin levels and promoting leptin resistance, a condition where the brain no longer responds effectively to satiety signals. This leads to persistent hunger and difficulty feeling full.
- Insulin: Insulin helps regulate blood sugar and promotes satisty. Prolonged consumption of UPFs, particularly those high in refined carbohydrates, can lead to *insulin resistance*. When this occurs, insulin is less effective at suppressing appetite, resulting in increased hunger and cravings.

Neurobiological Changes and the Brain's Reward System

Research increasingly suggests that hyperpalatable foods can trigger changes in the brain's reward circuitry that are comparable to those seen with addictive substances.

- The Dopamine System: The neurotransmitter dopamine is central to the brain's reward system, mediating feelings of pleasure and motivating behavior. Hyperpalatable UPFs trigger a significant release of dopamine, reinforcing the desire to consume them again.
- The Neuroadaptation Model: According to this model, repeated overstimulation of the reward system by UPFs leads to neuroadaptation. The brain becomes desensitized to the dopamine signal, meaning larger amounts of the food are required to achieve the same level of pleasure or satisfaction. This process of developing tolerance is a key feature of addiction.

A Staged Model of UPF Addiction

A five-stage model, originally conceptualized by pioneer clinician P. Werdell and recently grounded in a neurobiological perspective by Dr. Vera Tarman, describes the progression of UPF addiction.

1. **Pre-addiction Stage:** Characterized by occasional overconsumption of hyperpalatable foods without significant negative consequences or loss of control.



2. **Early Addiction Stage:** The onset of excessive eating and strong desires for certain foods. Individuals may experience slight withdrawal signs when they limit intake.

- 3. Middle-stage Addiction: Marked by frequent binge eating, intense cravings, and more pronounced withdrawal symptoms when trigger foods are avoided.
- 4. Late-stage Addiction: Control over consumption is largely lost, and tolerance has developed, requiring more food to achieve the desired effect. Significant negative health and social consequences (e.g., strained relationships, job loss) begin to emerge.
- 5. **End-stage Addiction:** Food consumption becomes compulsive, providing minimal pleasure. There is a complete loss of control and an inability to abstain without severe withdrawal symptoms.

This neurobiological framework, which posits UPFs as potentially addictive substances, necessitates a broader analysis that extends beyond individual physiology to the socio-economic forces that govern their production and consumption.

1.5 The Broader Landscape: Socio-Economic Factors and Future Outlook

The issue of UPFs cannot be understood in isolation from the broader context of affordability, food security, and emerging pharmaceutical trends that could reshape consumption patterns. These factors create a complex landscape where personal health choices intersect with powerful economic and market forces.

The Affordability and Accessibility Dilemma

While public health advice may advocate for avoiding UPFs, socio-economic realities present a significant barrier for many.

- Globally, an estimated **3 billion people** cannot afford a healthy diet. For many, energy-dense and shelf-stable UPFs are a key component of food security.
- In the UK, it is estimated that **50% of households** would need to spend 30% of their disposable income to follow dietary guidelines. For the **poorest 10% of households**, this figure rises to an untenable **75%**.

This highlights a critical dilemma: the very foods linked to poor health outcomes are often the most affordable and accessible, particularly for low-income populations.

The Impact of GLP-1 Medications

A significant near-term factor influencing food consumption is the rise of GLP-1 agonist medications, such as Mounjaro (tirzepatide) and Wegovy (semaglutide).

- Mechanism: These drugs mimic natural hormones that signal fullness to the brain, thereby reducing appetite and food cravings.
- Impact on Consumption: Studies show these medications can lead to a 20-30% reduction in overall calorie intake. One study on tirzepatide found a nearly 50% reduction in cravings for sweets among users, suggesting a particularly strong impact on the desire for hyper-palatable UPFs.

Financial Implications for the Food Industry



The combination of growing consumer awareness, potential government regulation, and the widespread adoption of GLP-1 medications is creating significant financial headwinds for "Big Food" companies that rely heavily on UPF sales.

- Financial analyses project potential **single-digit annual declines in UPF sales** in overconsuming nations like the U.S. and UK due to shifts in consumer behavior.
- There is a risk of market de-rating for these companies, drawing parallels to the longterm valuation declines seen in the tobacco and legacy automotive industries as their products faced increased health scrutiny and regulatory pressure.

The challenge posed by ultra-processed foods represents a wicked problem for public health, a complex interplay of personal biology, consumer economics, and powerful market forces. The very factors that drive UPF consumption—affordability, convenience, and engineered hyperpalatability—are in direct tension with the potential solutions, which include systemic policy changes, disruptive pharmaceutical interventions, and widespread consumer education. Navigating this landscape requires a nuanced and comprehensive strategy that acknowledges these competing realities.

Chapter 2: Study Guide for Understanding Ultra-Processed Foods

2.1 Knowledge Review Quiz

The following ten short-answer questions are designed to test your comprehension of the core concepts presented in the briefing document. Please provide answers of 2-3 sentences each based on the information provided.

- 1. According to the NOVA classification, what are the fundamental differences between "Processed Foods" (Group 3) and "Ultra-Processed Foods" (Group 4)?
- 2. What are three key characteristics a consumer can look for on an ingredient label to identify a potential UPF?
- 3. According to CDC data, how does the average consumption of UPFs as a percentage of daily calories differ between youth and adults in the United States?
- 4. What was the primary finding of the 2019 Hall study regarding calorie intake and weight change when participants were on a UPF diet versus an unprocessed diet?
- 5. Explain the concept of "leptin resistance" as described in the Tarman article and its connection to UPF consumption.
- 6. How does the "neuroadaptive addiction model" explain the progression of compulsive eating behaviors related to UPFs?
- 7. Briefly describe the "Late-stage" of food addiction according to the five-stage model.
- 8. What is the "affordability dilemma" associated with reducing UPF consumption, particularly for low-income households?
- 9. What is a GLP-1 medication, and how does its mechanism impact food cravings and consumption?



10. According to the Gibney paper, why is the argument that UPFs are universally high in fat and sodium not fully supported by dietary survey data from several countries?

2.2 Answer Key

- 1. According to the NOVA classification, Processed Foods (Group 3) are made by adding ingredients like salt, oil, or sugar to whole foods (Group 1) to preserve or enhance them. In contrast, Ultra-Processed Foods (Group 4) are industrial formulations made mostly from substances extracted from foods (e.g., starches, protein isolates) and contain additives not used in home cooking to make them hyper-palatable.
- 2. To identify a potential UPF from an ingredient label, a consumer can look for a long list of ingredients, unfamiliar or unpronounceable names, and the presence of industrial additives such as artificial sweeteners, preservatives, flavor enhancers, or artificial colorings.
- 3. According to CDC data from 2021-2023, youth (ages 1-18) in the United States consumed a significantly higher percentage of their daily calories from UPFs (61.9%) compared to adults (ages 19+) who consumed 53.0%.
- 4. The 2019 Hall study found that when participants had unlimited access to a UPF diet, they consumed about 500 more calories per day and gained an average of 0.9 kg over two weeks. When on an unprocessed diet matched for nutrients, they lost 0.9 kg.
- 5. Leptin resistance is a condition where the body no longer responds properly to the hormone leptin, which signals fullness. The Tarman article explains that UPF consumption is hypothesized to lead to leptin resistance, disrupting normal hunger and satiety signals and contributing to overeating.
- 6. The neuroadaptive addiction model explains that repeated consumption of hyperpalatable UPFs overstimulates the brain's reward system. This leads to the brain becoming desensitized, requiring larger amounts of food to achieve the same level of pleasure, which drives a cycle of tolerance and compulsive eating.
- 7. In the "Late-stage" of food addiction, an individual has largely lost control over their consumption of UPFs and has developed tolerance, meaning they need to eat more to get the desired effect. This stage is marked by significant negative consequences, such as strained relationships, job loss, and prominent health issues.
- 8. The "affordability dilemma" is the socio-economic challenge where UPFs, despite being linked to poor health, are often the most affordable and accessible sources of calories. For low-income households, affording a healthy diet of unprocessed foods can be prohibitively expensive, with estimates showing the poorest 10% in the UK would need to spend 75% of their disposable income to do so.
- 9. A GLP-1 medication is a type of drug that mimics the natural hormones that signal fullness to the brain. Its mechanism reduces appetite and cravings, particularly for sweets, leading to a significant reduction in calorie intake for users.
- 10. The Gibney paper argues that the claim that UPFs are universally high in fat and sodium is not fully supported by data from national dietary surveys in the US, UK, France, Canada, and Brazil. While these surveys show a strong link between UPF intake and



higher sugar consumption, the data show little to no variation in total fat, saturated fat, or sodium intake across different levels of UPF consumption.

2.3 Essay Questions for Deeper Analysis

These essay questions are designed to encourage critical thinking and a deeper synthesis of the material presented. Answers are not provided.

- 1. The definition of "ultra-processed food" is criticized by some scholars as being imprecise and variable. Using examples from the source texts (such as bread), critically evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the NOVA classification as a tool for public health policy.
- 2. Synthesize the evidence linking UPF consumption to adverse health outcomes. Discuss the current limitations of this evidence, particularly concerning the distinction between association and causation, and explain why public health bodies are nevertheless recommending action.
- 3. Using the five-stage addiction model from the Tarman source as a framework, analyze how the hormonal and neurobiological impacts of UPFs could drive an individual from occasional overconsumption to a state of compulsive eating despite negative consequences.
- 4. Discuss the complex socio-economic landscape of UPF consumption. Analyze the dual challenges of food affordability for consumers and the potential financial disruption to the food industry posed by shifting consumption patterns and new pharmaceuticals like GLP-1s.
- 5. Imagine you are a public health official. Based on the provided evidence, propose a multifaceted strategy to reduce UPF consumption in a high-consuming nation like the US or UK. Your proposal should address consumer education, policy levers, and the socioeconomic realities of food choice.

2.4 Glossary of Key Terms

The following is a list of key terms and their definitions as presented in the source documents.

Term	Definition
Food (HPF)	Industrial formulations made mostly from substances extracted from foods or derived from food constituents, often using additives to make the final product palatable or hyper-palatable.
INCLVA LIBSCITICATION	A system that categorizes all foods into four groups based on the nature, extent, and purpose of the industrial processing they undergo.
1 ** *	A term used to describe foods, typically UPFs, that are industrially formulated to be intensely pleasurable to eat through combinations of sugar, fat, salt, and sophisticated additives.
Allostatic Model of Addiction	A model proposing that the brain's reward system undergoes neuroadaptations from repeated overstimulation, leading to a progressive loss of control over substance (or food) use.



Neuroadaptation	The process by which the brain's reward system becomes desensitized from repeated consumption of hyperpalatable foods, requiring larger amounts to achieve the same level of pleasure.
Ghrelin	A hormone responsible for regulating and signaling hunger.
Leptin	A hormone responsible for signaling satiety (fullness) and inhibiting food intake.
Dopamine	A key neurotransmitter in the brain's reward circuitry associated with feelings of pleasure and motivation, which is activated by consuming hyperpalatable foods.
GLP-1 (Glucagon- like peptide 1)	A hormone involved in regulating blood sugar and promoting satiety. Medications that mimic GLP-1 are used to reduce appetite and food cravings.
Food Addiction Syndrome	A proposed clinical syndrome, detailed by Tarman, describing a chronic and progressive condition of compulsive UPF overconsumption. It is characterized by a spectrum of physical and psychological dependence, withdrawal, and loss of control, analogous to a substance use disorder.

Chapter 3: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

This section answers ten of the most common and important questions regarding ultra-processed foods, with each answer synthesized from the comprehensive analysis presented in this document.

1. What is the simplest way to tell if a food is ultra-processed?

The simplest way is to check the ingredient list. If it is long, contains names you don't recognize or can't pronounce, or includes substances you wouldn't use in a home kitchen (like protein isolates, modified starches, or emulsifiers), it is likely ultra-processed.

2. Are all ultra-processed foods unhealthy?

While high consumption of UPFs as a category is strongly linked to negative health outcomes, the definition is very broad and not all UPFs are equal. Some scholars note that the category's imprecision groups together very different products, and some UPFs may have more favorable nutrition labels for fat or sugar. However, the overall evidence suggests that a diet high in UPFs is detrimental to health.

3. How much of the average American's or Briton's diet is made up of UPFs?

UPFs make up a majority of the caloric intake in both countries. In the United States, they account for 55% of the average person's daily calories (and 61.9% for youth). In the United Kingdom, the figure is similar, at nearly 60% of total energy consumption.

4. What specific health problems are most strongly linked to eating a lot of UPFs?



High UPF consumption is most strongly linked to obesity and weight gain, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. Studies also show a higher risk for overall mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and certain types of cancer, including breast cancer.

5. Is it just the high sugar, salt, and fat that makes UPFs bad for you?

While many UPFs are high in these nutrients, evidence suggests other factors are at play. The landmark Hall study matched UPF and unprocessed diets for calories, sugar, salt, and fat, yet participants still consumed more and gained weight on the UPF diet. Research suggests other mechanisms may include the destruction of the food matrix during industrial processing, the impact of artificial textures on satiety signals, and the use of cosmetic additives (like flavors and colors) to drive hyper-palatability, all of which operate independently of the basic nutrient profile.

6. Can you actually become addicted to ultra-processed foods?

Yes, there is growing evidence that you can. The hyper-palatable nature of UPFs can activate the brain's reward systems in a way similar to addictive drugs, leading to neuroadaptation where more food is needed for the same pleasure. This can disrupt hormones that regulate hunger (ghrelin) and fullness (leptin), driving a cycle of cravings and compulsive eating that fits a clinical model of addiction.

7. Why do people eat so many UPFs if they are unhealthy?

Several factors drive high consumption. UPFs are designed for convenience, hyper-palatability, and have a long shelf life. They are also often cheaper than fresh, unprocessed foods, making them a crucial option for low-income households facing food insecurity. This affordability dilemma, combined with pervasive marketing, makes them a dominant feature of the modern food environment.

8. Is it true that you consume more calories when eating UPFs?

Yes, a key 2019 randomized controlled trial demonstrated this directly. When participants were allowed to eat as much as they wanted from either a UPF diet or an unprocessed diet, they consumed approximately 500 more calories per day on the UPF diet, leading to significant weight gain.

9. What are GLP-1 drugs and how do they relate to the conversation about UPFs?

GLP-1 drugs (like Mounjaro and Wegovy) are medications that mimic natural hormones that signal fullness to the brain. They reduce appetite and food cravings, particularly for sweet and hyper-palatable foods. They are relevant to the UPF conversation because their widespread use could significantly reduce consumption of UPFs, posing a potential financial threat to the food industry.

10. What is the main criticism of the "ultra-processed food" category?

The main criticism, articulated by scholars like Michael J. Gibney, is that the definition based on the NOVA classification is broad, sometimes ambiguous, and has varied over time. Critics argue it can be applied subjectively and groups together vastly different foods under one label. For example, it can be difficult to consistently distinguish between an artisanal bread (processed) and a mass-produced sliced bread with additives (ultra-processed), which complicates its use for clear research and public health policy.



Chapter 4: Timeline of Research and Awareness

This timeline charts the key milestones in the scientific and public understanding of ultraprocessed foods, from the conceptualization of the term to major research findings that have shaped the current discourse.

- **2006:** Michael Pollan's influential book *The Omnivore's Dilemma* refers to highly processed industrial food as 'edible food-like substances', helping to frame the public conversation and influencing later terminology.
- 2009: Brazilian researcher Carlos Augusto Monteiro formally coins the term "ultraprocessed food" in a scientific commentary, shifting the focus from individual nutrients to the nature, extent, and purpose of food processing.
- 2010: Monteiro's team at the University of São Paulo develops the NOVA classification system, creating a formal framework with four groups to categorize foods based on their degree of processing.
- 2013-2014: The U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) begins a period of data collection that will later be used to track and establish trends in UPF consumption.
- 2017: A study published by Cambridge Associated Press finds a relatively strong correlation between the availability of UPFs in a country and its national obesity rates, strengthening the epidemiological link.
- **2019:** A pivotal randomized controlled study by **Hall et al.** is published, demonstrating for the first time in a controlled setting that participants on an *ad libitum* UPF diet consume approximately 500 more calories per day and gain significantly more weight compared to those on an unprocessed diet.
- 2019: The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) publishes a comprehensive report on UPFs, diet quality, and health, utilizing the NOVA classification system and summarizing the growing body of evidence against high UPF consumption.
- August 2021-August 2023: The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) conducts its most recent NHANES survey cycle, providing updated data showing that UPFs constitute 55.0% of total calories in the U.S. diet.
- November 2023: A study in the *European Heart Journal* tracks over 10,000 women for 15 years, finding that those with the highest UPF consumption have a 39% higher risk of cardiovascular events.
- **2024:** A comprehensive meta-analysis published in *The BMJ* identifies 32 high-quality studies that associate UPF consumption with a range of negative health outcomes.
- March 2024: Vera I. Tarman publishes "One size does not fit all," proposing a five-stage clinical model for understanding ultra-processed food addiction from a hormonal and neurobiological perspective.



• May 2024: Nomura Asset Management releases an in-depth report analyzing the health and financial implications of UPFs, including the potential disruptive impact of GLP-1 medications on the "Big Food" industry.

.-----

Chapter 5: List of Cited Sources

This section provides a formatted list of the key reports, studies, and articles referenced throughout this document, presented in a standard scientific citation style.

- Adams, J., & White, M. (2015). Characterisation of UK diets according to degree of food processing and associations with socio demographics and obesity: cross-sectional analysis of UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (2008–12). International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 12, 160.
- Davis, C. (2013). From passive overeating to "food addiction": A spectrum of compulsion and severity. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2013, 435027. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/435027
- Fiolet, T., et al. (2018). Consumption of ultra-processed foods and cancer risk: results from NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort. *BMJ*, 360, k322.
- Gibney, M. J. (2019). Ultra-Processed Foods: Definitions and Policy Issues. *Current Developments in Nutrition*, 3(2), nzy077. doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzy077
- Hall, K. D., et al. (2019). Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of ad libitum food intake. *Cell Metabolism*, 30(1), 226.
- Monteiro, C.A., Cannon, G., Lawrence, M., Costa Louzada, M.L. & Pereira Machado, P. (2019). Ultra-processed foods, diet quality, and health using the NOVA classification system. Rome, FAO.
- Nomura Asset Management Global Sustainable Equity Team. (2024, May). The Impact
 of Food on Our Health and the Financial Implications.
- Tarman, V. I. (2024). One size does not fit all: Understanding the five stages of ultraprocessed food addiction. *Journal of Metabolic Health*, 7(1), a90. https://doi.org/10.4102/jmh.v7i1.90
- Williams, A. M., Couch, C. A., Emmerich, S. D., & Ogburn, D. F. (2025, August). Ultraprocessed food consumption in youth and adults: United States, August 2021–August 2023. NCHS Data Brief, (536).

This document can be inaccurate; please double check its content. For more information visit PowerBroadcasts.com